

WAIROA DISTRICT COUNCIL

ORDINARY MEETING OF COUNCIL

Minutes of an Ordinary Meeting of Council held in the Wairoa District Council Chambers, Coronation Square, Wairoa on Tuesday 11 March 2008 at 10:00 am.

PRESENT: His Worship the Mayor, L Probert (Chair)

COUNCILLORS

D Eaglesome, D Caves, J Heron, B Cairns, B McKinnon, and J Petersen (Out: 11.30am – In: 1.30 pm)

IN ATTENDANCE: T Cook (Administration Manager)
R Snow (Finance Manager)
N Cook (Engineering Manager)

CIVIC PRAYER

Councillor Eaglesome gave the Civic Prayer.

APOLOGIES

An apology was received from the Chief Executive Officer

| | |
|---|------------------------|
| That the apology received from the Chief Executive Officer be received. | Eaglesome/Caves |
|---|------------------------|

CALLS FOR CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Councillor Petersen declared a potential conflict of interest as related to the QRS Six-Monthly Report.

CALLS FOR ITEMS OF URGENT GENERAL BUSINESS NOT INCLUDED IN THE AGENDA AND NOTICES

- | | |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1. Late Item – Annual Plan 2008-09 | (Finance Manager) |
| 2. Late Item – Maori Policy Review | (Administration Manager) |
| 3. Community Meetings | (Councillor Caves) |
| 4. Public Notifications | (Councillor Caves) |
| 5. Policy | (Councillor Petersen) |
| 6. Kopu Road Erosion | (Engineering Manager) |
| 7. Waste Management | (Engineering Manager) |

PUBLIC FORUM

His Worship the Mayor welcomed those present and having clarified the process by which people could raise concerns prior to the commencement of the formal meeting of Council, invited attendees forward to address Council with their concerns.

Mr G McIntyre spoke in favour of 'unexpended footpath funding' being redirected toward the Wairoa Riverbank Walkway. A considerable sum of funds had been raised by the Walkway Committee to date, and any contribution from Council that would assist in securing subsidised funding would be welcomed.

Ms S Staley spoke in support of diverting funds towards the walkway and saw the walkway as a beneficial asset to the community.

Mr G Cooper spoke against funding being provided by Council, reminding elected Councillors and the Mayor that prior to elections it had been stressed that there would be no cost to the ratepayer, with all funding to be sought from other avenues to meet the costs of installing the riverbank walkway. Now that the Council had been elected, Council were illustrating that while they said one thing to suit the moment in time, they clearly meant another as they were now changing their minds.

Mr G Preston endorsed comments made by the previous speaker, as Council had previously implied that no money would be spent by Council on the walkway. Mr Preston believed that Council should stick to the basics prior to 'putting any icing on the cake', in that there still remained streets in Wairoa that did not have a footpath on either side, such as Apatu Street. Mr Preston believed that the Walkway Committee should make an approach to the Wairoa Community Development Trust for funding. Given a statement made by the Mayor in the past that at no time would there be any cost to the ratepayer, Mr Preston believed that the Mayor's personal integrity was on the line if he did not abide by those statements.

Mr S Birrell spoke in favour of funding being diverted toward the riverbank walkway, as it was his understanding that Council's expenditure of \$100,000 would attract \$400,000 from outside sources. While acknowledging Mr Preston's comments about Council sticking to basics, Kopu Road was also a street that currently had no footpath on either side, and as such, would require one in the future. Mr Birrell did not believe it wise to look a gift horse in the mouth and as such Council should approve the request.

Mr C Doyle did not support funds being directed anywhere, until issues impacting on North Clyde residents were addressed. Mr Doyle recounted the decline of footpaths, housing, commercial and business enterprises, and drainage in North Clyde and emphasised an urgent need for Council to address problems in that area before allocating funds elsewhere. North Clyde residents were ratepayers too, but did not see any benefit directly to their community, with their rates supporting other ventures, such as the riverbank walkway, instead of monies being expended where needed.

His Worship the Mayor thanked members of the public in attendance for making their concerns and/or support known to Council, emphasising that comments made would be taken into consideration when Council debated the item before them.

GENERAL BUSINESS

RIVERBANK WALKWAY AND CYCLEWAY (STAGE 2)

B.03.02.02.01

| | |
|------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Resolved: | <i>That the report be received.</i> |
|------------------|-------------------------------------|

Heron/Caves

To allow for debate, Councillor Eaglesome put the motion forward, which was seconded by Councillor Cairns.

Councillor Eaglesome then spoke to the motion stating that an enthusiastic group of volunteers that comprised the Wairoa Riverbank Walkway Committee had raised a significant amount of funds for stage one of the walkway, which had since been completed and had made an application to Land Transport New Zealand for funding of \$400,000 toward stage two of the project. The committee had since been advised that while funding may be forthcoming, it would be conditional on \$100,000 of funding already being available.

As subsidised funding was not available to Council for standard footpaths, Councillor Eaglesome saw the request before Council as a saving of \$210,000 to the ratepayer, in that proceeding with stage two of the walkway along the riverbank would eliminate the need for a footpath to be laid along Kopu Road. Councillor Eaglesome stated that if it were the wish of ratepayers to pay \$310,000, funded from rates to lay a footpath along Kopu Road, as against \$108,000 to install the walkway, then so be it. Councillor Eaglesome then read the content of a letter received from Suzanne Pitama, a researcher of Maori Indigenous Health who had recently conducted extensive research in Wairoa, citing the health benefits to the people of the district should the walkway be funded. A copy of the letter was circulated to all Councillors'.

Councillor Heron concurred with comments made by Councillor Eaglesome, and supported the request for funding as outlined in the report.

His Worship the Mayor emphasised that the funding requested would be made available from existing funds if it were to be approved, and as such there would be no direct cost to the ratepayer. The application for funding had not been made by the Walkway Committee, but was rather a report received from an Officer of Council who was pointing out an opportunity for a saving to the ratepayer in that stage two of the walkway project could obtain subsidy from an external organisation, whereas the laying of a footpath along Kopu Road would not.

Councillor Petersen circulated a paper as to his position on the request for funding. Councillor Petersen in making reference to Council's policy to have a footpath on one side of every street, questioned whether Council were looking to fulfil this policy by haphazardly picking which streets to do next, and, to the best advantage. Councillor Petersen believed that if the footpath was needed, then Council should proceed with it, however, he also expressed concern that the walkway was merely being used as a smokescreen by which to expend ratepayers funds. Councillor Petersen also pointed out that if a footpath were to be laid along Kopu Road, instead of the walkway, it would not be along the entire breath of Kopu Road to Pilots' Hill.

Councillor Petersen reiterated concerns voiced by members of the public in that Council had constantly given assurances that there would be no cost to the ratepayer as related to the walkway. This had proven to be incorrect in that Council had already taken on the maintenance and depreciation of stage one of the walkway, and were now being asked to provide funds to stage two.

Councillor Cairns informed Councillors that she was not opposed to the benefits that a walkway would bring to the Wairoa Community, however she also supported concerns raised by Councillor Petersen, and given the public interest in the matter, believed that it was an item that should be deferred to allow for public consultation, perhaps as a submission to the Annual Plan. Councillor Petersen stated he would second this as a motion.

His Worship the Mayor stated that there was already a motion on the table and that the request for an alternative motion to be debated would have to wait until debate on the current motion had been completed.

Councillor McKinnon stated that there seemed to be some confusion as to what funds were currently available, however he was also of the opinion that Council should not look a gift horse in the mouth. Councillor McKinnon believed that there was some degree of gain to the ratepayer in that another footpath would not have to be constructed. If staff were able to provide some clarity on funding, it would go some way towards providing clarity on overall impacts to Council. Councillor McKinnon also questioned whether there was any limitation placed on the application if the funding was not approved today.

His Worship the Mayor informed Councillors that to obtain subsidised funding from Land Transport New Zealand, stage two of the project would have to be completed within the current financial year. For the application to have a greater chance of success, a decision of Council to provide funding had to be made today.

The Engineering Manager clarified the financial position by stating that the Operations Manager's report made no reference to a transfer of funds, neither was there any intimation that funding came out of the current year's budget. Funding toward footpaths came from the District Development Fund and as such it was by decisions of Council as to how and where funds would be allocated. There had never been any intent to rate for required funds.

Councillor Caves expressed disappointment in not being provided a copy of Council's Footpath Policy prior to the meeting, despite his request for the same prior to the meeting's commencement. Councillor Caves stated that he had never been opposed to the walkway, particularly as it would not require any funding from the ratepayer, and that had been illustrated in the staging of the project. However as previously mentioned, Council had acquired responsibility for the maintenance and depreciation of the walkway, which in turn resulted in a cost to the ratepayer.

Council had budgeted to improve footpaths and drainage in residential areas and Council's Walkway and Cycling Strategy was based around managing footpaths and drainage in high-density use areas. Councillor Caves believed that this was a significant issue that should be taken to the Annual Plan, giving all residents an opportunity to speak up. Councillor Caves questioned what would happen if the application to Land Transport New Zealand were unsuccessful.

His Worship the Mayor advised that the Walkway Committee would continue to seek funding from other sources if this were the case.

Council's Policy Analyst rose to speak to the report. Councillor Petersen objected to his speaking, as it was now a matter for Councillors to debate. His Worship the Mayor permitted Council's Policy Analyst to speak, as he would be speaking on policy matters as pertained to the report.

Council's Policy Analyst informed Councillors that a document sent out to the public was provided as an information sheet and guidance on Council policy issues. Council's Policy Analysts also pointed out that there had been no formal resolution made by Council to not fund the walkway, although it was acknowledged that this had been their intent. Council's position on funding however was developed prior to any knowledge of the ability to obtain funding from external sources and as such Councillors were advised of the benefit in being flexible as related to decision making processes.

The Engineering Manager informed Councillors of progress made with the Hawke's Bay Regional Council as related to erosion problems along Kopu Road. The Hawke's Bay Regional Council had advised that \$200,000 would be made available toward mitigating erosion problems along Kopu Road. In addition to this, Council was trialling soft engineering techniques (plantings) to other areas along the riverbank that were prone to erosion. If the walkway were to proceed, it may speed up the process by which erosion protection was implemented, particularly as it was work that needs to be carried out.

The Engineering Manager further informed Councillors that if a footpath were to be constructed on the residential side of Kopu Road, there would be additional expenditure as piping of open drains would be required. It was also pointed out that the Walking and Cycling Strategy had nothing to do with Land Transport New Zealand. It was a policy document that had been adopted by Council and merely endorsed by Land Transport New Zealand.

Councillor Cairns queried whether there was an opportunity for Council to approve the request, with an understanding that the Walkway Committee continue to raise funds by their own accord. In response His Worship the Mayor advised that the committee continued to raise funds, and would continue to do so, as there were other aspects of the project that required funding, and needed to be implemented, such as the placement of seating and rest areas.

His Worship the Mayor expressed disappointment in that a project promulgated as a Community Asset, having previously received support from groups such as Rotary and Lighthouse Lions, had become an issue of debate and was being dragged down by negative people.

In her right of reply Councillor Eaglesome reiterated her original position, emphasising the benefits to be gained from completing the Wairoa Riverbank Walkway. Councillor Eaglesome believed it was time to move ahead, and to commit to the future of the District.

His Worship the Mayor then put forward the motion.

| |
|--|
| <p><u>Resolved:</u> <i>That Council approve funding of \$103,000, being \$60,000 for the 2007/08 financial year, and \$48,000 for the 2008/09 financial year. Eaglesome/Cairns</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">AGAINST: Councillors Caves & Petersen</p> <p style="text-align: right;">ABSTAINED: Councillor Cairns</p> |
|--|

CLYDE DOMAIN SPORTS PAVILLION

G.02.01

Resolved: *That the report be received.* **Eaglesome/Petersen**

His Worship the Mayor referred to the content of the report, advising that the pavilion had been constructed approximately fifty years ago, utilised by various sports organisations over time as changing rooms and clubrooms. In recent years the building had suffered continuous attacks of graffiti and vandalism, with \$2,000 spent on repairs in the last six months. The building itself was no longer utilised by sport groups.

The Operations Manager was seeking Council approval to advertise the building for sale and removal.

Councillor Caves queried whether there had been any investigation undertaken to determine the needs of other community groups, who may find a use for the building. The Engineering Manager advised that the building had been offered to the Nuhaka Rugby Club, who had accepted the offer at the time, but not acted upon it. There had also been an approach made from the Wairoa Cricket Association, but they had since moved their activities to the Athletic Clubrooms, which offered better facilities. The reality was that no one was utilising Clyde Domain for any particular sport activity and as such there was no demand for the building.

Resolved: *That the Operations Manager proceed with advertising the Clyde Domain Sports Pavilion for sale and removal.* **Heron/Eaglesome**

CLYDE DOMAIN PUBLIC TOILETS

H.03.01

Resolved: *That the report be received.* **Petersen/Cairns**

His Worship the Mayor assumed that Councillors had read the report, and sought direction as to which was their preferred option.

Resolved: *That the Operations Manager be instructed to demolish the Clyde Domain Toilets and reinstate the sealed area.* **Heron/Petersen**

ADJOURNED: 11:00 AM

RECONVENED: 11:10 AM

SALE OF SURPLUS PROPERTY

H.01.03

Resolved: *That the report be received.* **Cairns/Caves**

Councillor Caves expressed his concern at the number of Real Estate Agents that were actively seeking to acquire Council Assets for sale. As the asset in question had some value, he was opposed to it being declared as surplus to requirements.

His Worship the Mayor reminded Councillors that they had previously tasked the Finance Manager to dispose of surplus property. Once sold, it became a rateable property that brought an income to Council.

The Finance Manager confirmed that he was acting on the direction of Council, who also had a policy that Council owned property not be retained unless there was some strategic purpose for it. The Finance Manager advised that if the property were declared as surplus to requirements, that a realistic valuation would be sought prior to the property being made available for sale.

Councillor McKinnon emphasised that while the property may be made available for sale by tender, this did not mean that Council had to sell it to the highest, or indeed any tenderer. Councillor Eaglesome supported comments made by Councillor McKinnon, and further believed that the management of the tender process, and the sale of the property, should be left to the Finance Manager and Finance Portfolio Holders.

Councillor Petersen was against selling a property that would have such little return through rates, particularly given the value of the tree crop that was due to be harvested.

In response to a question raised by Councillor Cairns, Councillor Heron advised that if the property were to be retained by Council, and the tree crop harvested, it was unlikely to be replanted, which in turn would result in a cost of \$15,000/hectare to Council as a result of Carbon Tax.

| |
|---|
| <p>Resolved: <i>That Council declares the 6.65 hectare property on State Highway 38 with legal description Lot 4 & 5 of Section 5 Tamaramarama, surplus to requirements, and to be put on the market for sale.</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">McKinnon/Eaglesome</p> |
| <p>AGAINST: Councillors Caves and Petersen</p> |

LOCAL GOVERNANCE STATEMENT 2008

A.06.20.01

| | |
|---|----------------------------|
| <p>Resolved: <i>That the report be received.</i></p> | <p>Cairns/Heron</p> |
|---|----------------------------|

Councillor Caves sought an inclusion under Section 16 – Public Access, for a response time to e-mails and correspondence received by Council.

The Administration Manager explained the current process of responding to different types of enquiries received by phone, e-mail, written correspondence, or a direct report from a member of the public, ranging from one to eight days. Processes and procedures were set out within the activity plans of the Long Term Council Community Plan.

The Administration Manager also pointed out that correspondence handed over the counter addressed to Councillors, was forwarded directly to Councillors, with Management remaining unaware of the content. If Councillors and the writer wished concerns to be addressed by Council staff, then correspondence must be addressed to the appropriate staff member or Manager for it to be processed through the Council mailing system. Council staff and management were not in a position to respond to complaints that had not been brought to them directly and as such, Councillors had to take responsibility.

The Administration Manager also pointed out that at times a response was merely an acknowledgement of receipt of the complaint/enquiry, as not all issues could be resolved in short time periods, and as such this may not be the level of response expected.

Councillor Cairns supported the inclusion of response times in the Local Governance Statement as it informed members of the public as to when they could expect a response.

The Administration Manager advised that the Local Governance Statement would be amended to incorporate response times as detailed in the activity plans of the Long Term Council Community Plan.

| | |
|---|-------------------------------|
| <p>Resolved: <i>That with amendments, Council adopt and make publicly available the Wairoa District Council Local Governance Statement 2008.</i></p> | <p>Caves/Eaglesome</p> |
|---|-------------------------------|

TRIENNIAL AGREEMENT

A.26.04

| | |
|---|-------------------------------|
| <p>Resolved: <i>That the report be received.</i></p> | <p>Heron/Eaglesome</p> |
|---|-------------------------------|

The Administration Manager spoke to the report informing Councillors of its intent and purpose.

Councillor Caves stated that under 'Parties' he would like to see population figures included alongside each Council. When asked for what purpose, he replied, purely information. Councillors did not see any point in such information being included as it could be sought from other sources,

Resolved: *That Council adopt the Hawke's Bay 2008 Triennial Agreement.* **Heron/Eaglesome**

DOCUMENTS SEALED FOR THE PERIOD 17 DECEMBER 2007 TO 18 JANUARY 2008

Resolved: *That the report be received.* **Petersen/McKinnon**

QRS SIX MONTH REPORT 31 DECEMBER 2007 **F.02.13.02**

Resolved: *That the report be received.* **McKinnon/Caves**

His Worship the Mayor welcomed the Chairman and Directors of Quality Roding Services (Wairoa) Limited, Mr D Munro, Mr M Jarvis, Mr G Gaddum and Mr K Gilligan, and QRS Chief Executive Officer, Mr L Aitken, to the meeting.

Mr Munro thanked Councillors for allowing them time to present the Six Month Report to 31 December 2007 and conveyed the apologies of Director, Mr C Torrie, who was unable to attend.

In speaking to the Six-monthly report, Mr Munro stated that it was a disappointing result when compared with equivalent periods for the previous four years. Major causative factors had been a reduction in revenue, which was 25% less than the previous year, attributing to 17.3% loss in budget. Factors identified as contributing to the reduction were, a general reduction in capital works in the Wairoa District, tighter construction work margins, a slower than anticipated start to projects in Gisborne, and less storm related work on local roads.

Despite the disappointing result to date, Directors were still budgeting to achieve an end of year profit of \$350,297.

His Worship the Mayor thanked Mr Munro for his overview and sought questions from Councillors as pertained to the report.

Councillor Caves spoke to Mr Munro stating that at a meeting in September 2007 with Council, he had indicated that QRS were aiming for a \$1.2 million surplus, but that that would be a big ask. Councillor Caves was concerned that QRS did not seem to know how many staff they employed with the reporting stating 90 – 95. Councillor Caves was also concerned at the potential impact to ratepayers in that he had equated a three percent loss in income to Council. Councillor Caves wanted to know what strategies were in place to improve the situation.

Mr Munro assured Councillor Caves that they did know how many staff were in their employ, explaining that the figure also included casual staff who were contracted to work for fixed periods, thus the number fluctuated between 90 – 95. At present there were eighty-two permanent staff in the employ of QRS. In response to strategies being implemented, Mr Munro advised that he would address this when the meeting moved into confidential, so as not to give competition a competitive edge as related to future planning by QRS Directors and Management.

Councillor Eaglesome stated that despite the financial loss when compared with previous years, QRS continued to excel in all aspects and continued to do well. Councillor Eaglesome also expressed her recognition of former Director, Cliff King's contribution over the past ten years which had been of significant value and benefit to the ratepayers of Wairoa.

His Worship the Mayor endorsed these statements in that the value of the Company had increased from 1.2 million at its roots, to 4.8 million, with Council and ratepayers benefiting from large returns in the past. While the result was disappointing, he was certain that strategies were being put in place that would continue to take the company forward.

Resolved: *That Council receive the Six-Month Report for the period ended 31 December 2007, noting that the Board of Directors have not achieved desired results as set out in the statement of corporate intent.* **Eaglesome/Heron**

His Worship the Mayor informed members of the public and the Press that the meeting would be moving into Confidential, with public being readmitted at 12:45pm.

Resolved: *That pursuant to Section 48 (1) of the Local Government Information and Meetings Act 1987, the public be excluded on the following grounds:*

That the exclusion of the public from the whole or relevant part of the proceedings of the meeting is necessary to enable the local authority to deliberate in private on its decision or recommendation in:

S7 (2)(b) Protect information where the making available of the information-

- (i) Would disclose a trade secret; or*
- (ii) Would be likely unreasonably to prejudice the commercial position of the person who supplied or who is the subject of the information;*

Cairns/Eaglesome

ADJOURNED: 11:45 PM

RECONVENED: 1:35 PM

Receipt/Confirmation of Minutes of Previous Council Meetings

ORDINARY MEETING OF COUNCIL HELD 12 FEBRUARY 2008

The Minutes of an Ordinary Meeting of Council held 12 February 2008 were presented.

Councillor Caves sought an amendment to the minutes in that the names of mover and seconder under the item Policy Options Dog Control Act had been omitted, and that there was a typo in the resolution pertaining to the Mahanga Beach Seawall where the seconder was Cairns, not Caves.

Resolved: *That subject to amendments the Minutes of an Ordinary Meeting of Council held on 12 February 2008 be confirmed as correct.* **Cairns/Petersen**

SPECIAL MEETING OF COUNCIL HELD 28 FEBRUARY 2008

The Minutes of a Special Meeting of Council held 28 February 2008 were presented.

Resolved: *That the Minutes of a Special Meeting of Council held on 28 February 2008 be confirmed as correct.* **Heron/Petersen**

LATE ITEM – ANNUAL PLAN 2008/2009

F.01.24

Resolved: *That in accordance with Section 46A (7) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act, the item LATE ITEM – ANNUAL PLAN 2008/2009 be considered given the item had not come to hand at the time of Agenda compilation and consideration of this item is required now in order to respond within the timeframe allowed.* **Heron/Caves**

The Finance Manager spoke to the report advising that it set out the timetable for the release for consultation, receipt, and hearing of submissions to the Draft Annual Plan 2008/2009, and the adoption of the Annual Plan 2008/2009. Councillors were provided a copy of the draft to enable them sufficient time to peruse the document prior to the Special meeting of Council scheduled for Tuesday 18th March 2008, the Agenda for which had been circulated at this meeting of Council.

Resolved: *That the report be received.* **Caves/Heron**

MAORI POLICY REVIEW

A.28.01

Resolved: *That in accordance with Section 46A (7) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act, the item LATE ITEM – MAORI POLICY REVIEW be considered given the item had not come to hand at the time of Agenda compilation and consideration of this item is required now in order to respond within the timeframe allowed.* **McKinnon/Cairns**

The Administration Manager spoke to the report advising that it was self-explanatory.

Resolved: *That Council confirm their desire to have a Maori Policy.* **Eaglesome/Cairns**

COMMUNITY MEETINGS

A24.11

Councillor Caves questioned the process that would be taken as related to addressing concerns raised by members of the public at community meetings, as people were expecting response from Councillors as to how issues would be dealt with.

His Worship the Mayor explained the process that would be undertaken once the last community meeting had been held. The Chief Executive Officer was receiving a briefing of all meetings from Council's Maori Liaison Officer, who attended to keep a record of concerns raised, so that issues raised at all meetings could be compiled at the conclusion of all Community Meetings.

Councillor Caves also expressed concern at the setting of meeting dates and times, as the meeting on March 27th clashed with the Beyond 2009 Seminar that he and Councillor Heron were attending. His Worship the Mayor stated that at times it was not possible for all Councillors to attend meetings due to other commitments, and advised that he would convey the apologies of both Councillors at the meeting. Councillor Cairns reminded Councillor Caves that the meetings were not set to suit Councillor's but rather to suit the communities that they were visiting.

PUBLIC NOTIFICATIONS

A01.01

Councillor Caves asked that where Council Reserves were being used for events or requests for Road Closures were received, the activity concerned be advertised at the expense of the applicant. This would inform the public that the activity had been approved by Council

There was no response from Management, the Mayor or Councillors as to the value or otherwise of this request.

POLICY

A06.11

Councillor Petersen requested that in future, where a report within the Agenda referred to Council Policy, that a copy of the Policy, or the Policy in part, also be included so that Councillors could refer to the Policy in conjunction with any recommendation they were being asked to consider. Councillors supported this suggestion, and Management advised that the request would be actioned in future agendas.

KOPU ROAD

B03.01.05 01/22

The Engineering Manager restated information provided earlier in the meeting in that the Hawke's Bay Regional Council had allocated \$200,000 for the 2008/09 Financial Year toward mitigating erosion on Kopu Road. Councillors were informed that Council Engineering staff would be working with Hawke's Bay Regional Council Engineers, who would take the lead in the project, as it was Regional Council funding. Councillors were further informed that there would be some cost to Council as there would be a requirement to reinstate the reserve.

WASTE MANAGEMENT

B06

The Engineering Manager informed Councillors of the development of a Waste Management Survey 'What A Load of Rubbish' that would be circulated to all residents and ratepayers with the Summary of the Draft Annual Plan. As the final version of the survey was still to be finalised, the Engineering Manager asked that it not be circulated at Community Meetings however, Councillors were encouraged to inform attendees at the meeting that the survey would soon be circulated and that they should participate and send in their responses. Copies of the survey would also be made available online and at the Library, Information Centre, and Council Offices.

MAYORAL UPDATE

A06.07.10

His Worship the Mayor updated Councillors of upcoming events, visits, meetings, and presentations that he would be involved in for the remainder of the month.

Resolved: *That pursuant to Section 48 (1) of the Local Government Information and Meetings Act 1987, the public be excluded on the following grounds:*

That the exclusion of the public from the whole or relevant part of the proceedings of the meeting is necessary to enable the local authority to deliberate in private on its decision or recommendation in:

S7 (2)(b) Protect information where the making available of the information-

- (i) Would disclose a trade secret; or*
- (ii) Would be likely unreasonably to prejudice the commercial position of the person who supplied or who is the subject of the information;*

Eaglesome/Cairns

RELEASE OF CONFIDENTIAL ITEMS

A06.09

NIL

There being no further General Business, the His Worship the Mayor, declared the meeting closed:

MEETING CLOSED: 1:35 PM

.....
Chair